

BRIGHTON & HOVE CITY COUNCIL
NEIGHBOURHOODS, INCLUSION, COMMUNITIES & EQUALITIES COMMITTEE

4.00pm 21 JANUARY 2019

COUNCIL CHAMBER, HOVE TOWN HALL

MINUTES

Present: Councillors Daniel (Chair); Councillor Marsh (Deputy Chair), Nemeth (Opposition Spokesperson), West (Group Spokesperson), Cattell, Littman, Morgan, A Norman, K Norman and Peltzer Dunn

Invitees: Chief Superintendent Lisa Bell, Sussex Police; Joanna Martindale, Hangleton & Knoll Project and Anusree Biswas Sasidharan BME Police Engagement Group

PART ONE

40 PROCEDURAL BUSINESS

40a Declaration of Substitutes

40.1 Councillor Littman declared that he was present in substitution for Councillor Phillips.

40b Declarations of Interest

40.2 Councillor West sought referred to Item 47 on the agenda, seeking clarification and sought legal advice in respect of this matter as he sat on the Board of Trustees of two organisations which might be recipients of funding in future. It was confirmed that this did not constitute a prejudicial interest. It would however, be appropriate for him to declare an interest when any further report came to Committee if those organisations were in receipt of funding at that time. On that basis Councillor West remained present at the meeting during its consideration and any discussion thereon.

40c Exclusion of the Press and Public

40.3 In accordance with Section 100A of the Local Government Act 1972 ("The Act"), the Committee considered whether the press and public should be excluded from the meeting during an item of business on the grounds that it was likely, in view of the business to be transacted or the nature of the proceedings, that if members of the press and public were present during that item, that there would be disclosure to them of confidential information, (as defined in section 100A(3) of the Act) or exempt information as defined in section 100(1) of the Act).

- 40.4 **RESOLVED** – That the press and public not be excluded from the meeting during consideration of any item on the agenda.

41 MINUTES

Countering Period Poverty – Red Box Project

- 41.1 Councillor A Norman referred to the work being carried out which had formed the subject of a presentation at the previous meeting of the Committee detailed in item 29 of the minutes. She had been pleased to be able to report that having attended matches at the Brighton and Hove Albion Football Ground recently she had noticed that boxes had been provided into which donations could be placed.

Notice of Motion – Rough Sleeping

- 41.2 Councillor Nemeth referred to Paragraph 33.7 of the minutes stating that he wished the following addition to be made in order to emphasise the point that he had sought to underline his concerns that data needed to be recorded in such fashion that meaningful comparisons could be made.

“Councillor Clare Moonan, the Administration’s spokesperson for rough sleeping stated that the number of rough sleeper’s in Brighton and Hove had dropped significantly stating that:

“the official figure verified by the independent organisation Homeless Link is 64. If you remember the official figure last November, was 178, so this is a significant drop”

- 42.3 The Chair, noted what had been said stating that whilst this had been cited as a direct quote it needed to be considered set against the contextual information which had also been given. The methodology used was approved by the Government but this was not the only number used and that figures were triangulated to make sure that there was an accurate picture on rough sleeping.
- 41.4 **RESOLVED** - That the Chair be authorised to approve and sign the minutes of the meeting held on 3 December as a correct record subject to the amendment/clarification referred to above being agreed and incorporated into the minutes.

42 CHAIRS COMMUNICATIONS

- 42.1 The Chair stated that there were a number of areas of work which she wished to highlight.

Appointment of Modern Slavery Co-ordinator

- 42.2 The Chair stated that she wished to take the opportunity in her communications to take the opportunity to promote a new post that the council was recruiting to. The council was looking for a person to take up the role of modern slavery co-ordinator to strengthen the authority’s response to modern slavery.

Council’s Community Fund

42.3 The Chair was pleased to update committee that in respect of the council's Communities Fund. There had seen a record number of applications this year, 110 bids already received in 2018-19 and further work underway to support a range of third sector collaborations & partnership projects.

42.4 The increasing diversity of organisations making an application to council since modernising the Fund and taking process on-line was evidenced by the 15 community & voluntary groups' receiving first time funding from us this year. An update on final round awards would be available in February.

Holocaust Education Project

42.5 The council had been working with the Holocaust Education Project Team on plans to mark Holocaust Memorial Day 2019. This year's HMD lecture would take place at Brighton College on Monday 28 January at 5pm, with all city schools invited. Barbara Winton would be speaking about the role of her father, Nicholas Winton, in organising the "Kindertransports" that had enabled hundreds of Jewish children to escape from occupied Czechoslovakia for a new life in Britain between December 1938 and September 1939. Barbara would also seek to explore some of the parallels with the challenges and dilemmas that we faced today.

LGBT History Month February

42.6 As part of the city marking LGBT History Month this February, in conjunction with Sussex Beacon the Council would be hosting a nationally recognised multimedia exhibition called 'Speaking Volumes' in Brighton Town Hall; the exhibition will throw light on the isolation and prejudice experienced by people living with HIV including women of colour and young people.

42.7 Brighton Museums & Art Gallery would also be hosting a talk by world renowned filmmaker Dr. Topher who will be speaking about his personal experience as a Black Queer man and why Europe's first archive dedicated to the histories, culture and experiences of Black LGBTQ people in the UK is so important.

42.8 The council has supported the development of 'TnB', a new drop in service for Trans and Non-Binary people that launched this month; run by Trans and non-binary people the TnB is open to anyone who identifies with the TNB community, and/or questioning and exploring their gender identity or variance. It provides a safe and confidential space where people can find out more about local or national organizations and services that support the TnB community

Consultation Pride Village Party

42.9 In concluding her communications, the Chair explained that the council had been consulting local people and businesses about the Pride Village Party, the consultation was open until the end of January. Nearly 1600 responses had already been received and officers would be taking a report to the Tourism, Development and Culture Committee in March 2019 setting out consultation's findings and recommending approaches to be adopted in relation to future events.

Investment in Work of Racial Harassment Forum

42.10 The Chair stated that she was very pleased to announce that the Administration would be investing in the work of the Racial Harassment Forum providing a grant of up to £25,000 to fund an advocacy worker and to help them grow and to secure further funding. Funding had been made available from within the existing 2018/19 budget.

42.11 **RESOLVED** – That the contents of the Chair’s Communications be noted and received.

43 CALL OVER

43.1 All items appearing on the agenda were called for discussion with the exception of the following items which were agreed without discussion:

44 PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

44a Petition(s)

44.1 There were none.

44b Written Questions

44.2 It was noted that a written question had been received from Mr Hawtree who was invited forward to put the following question:

“Would Cllr Daniel please tell us how much this Authority has spent upon alterations to Hove’s Carnegie Library to accommodate a private music college, a nursery and a café?”

44.3 The Chair’s response is set out below:

“The internal refurbishment and alterations enabling works were to accommodate the relocation of the library staff room, staff toilets and work rooms at a cost of £87,958.00. This freed up the basement for the council’s nursery tenant and allowed the council’s tenant on the ground floor to provide a café.

We do not have the fit out costs of the tenants as this information is private and commercially sensitive to them.

The ‘private music college’ that is referred to is the room on the first floor that is used by the ‘BIMM’ who have been in the library as a tenant for some time hence no money was spent in relation to them in the recent refurbishment.

Since opening the café in October, footfall has increased and in the last two months it has been nearly 10% higher than over the same period last year.

44.4 The Chair invited Mr Hawtree to ask a supplementary question and he requested to know whether those areas where public space was unavailable due to other use. eg.,

the first floor music room, whether assurances could be given that when in use for that purpose it was available for use by the public.

44.5 The Chair, Councillor Daniel confirmed that it was.

44.6 **RESOLVED** – That the position be noted.

44c Deputations

44.7 There were none.

45 MEMBER INVOLVEMENT

45a Petition(s)

45.1 There were none.

44b Written Questions

44.2 It was noted that 1 written question had been received from Councillor Nemeth as set out below:

“Does any data exist that can be used to make a meaningful comparison between the number of rough-sleepers in Brighton and Hove last winter and the number this winter?”

44.3 The Chair’s response to Councillor Nemeth’s question is set out below:

“The rough sleeping counts and evidence we use to make strategic and financial decisions are varied. All councillors ought to by now be aware of this especially members of the previous night shelter working group. We now have an increased number of datasets to use for decision making and I will describe those now. First we now use regular seasonal snapshot counts done by St Mungo’s. This last year those count figures were 91, 107, 78, and 64. The highest figure being summer time which reflects our usual pattern year to year. As we know as councillors, when the weather gets colder more people will consider accessing a service. Second, we also undertake an annual count. The methodology for this year was altered to bring us into line with the governments preferred methodology in order to provide a more **uniform national snapshot**. We have always said these are a snapshot. A methodology that is approved by government. In the previous few years we used a methodology which was an estimate rather than a count, however, by using that we have made national comparison on direction of travel more difficult, hence the change in methodology this year. Third, we now also have the city’s statutory and voluntary sector caseload on one system called BTHINK. This means we can use data from this year onwards to continue to design more effective services. So, it is clear that some Councillors are having difficulty understanding how we use these different datasets to make decisions, and to triangulate different information. They will be supported to understand better how the data is used via forthcoming workshops. Finally, I could understand the concern with this number if we were saying as an administration, this is the only number we use to make decisions. We aren’t. We are saying that was the number using a specific

methodology. We aren't relying on it to allocate resources. Resources have been increased: Our hub which assesses people as early as possible to prevent entrenched rough sleeping, our night shelter, our increased Severe Weather provision shows we are making more resources available not less. We will undertake to publish data on a quarterly basis on the rough sleeping page of our website. The feedback from councillors via the workshops will help us shape that section in a user friendly way."

44.5 Councillor Nemeth stated that he considered that he remained of the view that it was difficult to obtain a clear view of the numbers involved from the figures as presented. The latest count showed a fall in the number of rough sleepers to 64 from an estimate of 178 for last year and the council had released statements saying that that the 2018 results showed a "significant drop". This had happened on a cold snowy night when fewer people would have been on the streets. A separate rough sleeper count in September by the council's outreach service, St Mungo's had recorded 78 rough sleepers.

44.6 The Chair, Councillor Daniel responded re-iterating that she could understand the concerns expressed with the numbers using the Government's methodology if the council was saying that this was the only figure used, but it was not and it had not ,it was saying this was now approved by the Government. Data was collected from more than one source and the data collected was used to continue to design more effective services for rough sleepers and that the figures were triangulated to make sure that there was an accurate picture of rough sleeping. The council had increased the number of times when the Severe Weather Emergency Protocol (SWEP) night shelter had been open.

44.7 **RESOLVED** - That the position be noted.

44c Letters

44.8 There were none.

44d Notices of Motion

44.9 There were none.

46 PRESENTATION(S)

46.1 Jessica Sumner, Chief Executive Officer at Community Works and Brian Pote-Hunt Director, Ottoway Strategic Management Ltd gave presentations giving their respective perspectives in relation to the Third Sector Commission, evaluating the first year of the programme. Their presentations comprised two elements, namely, in relation to Commissioning Process itself which would cover the timeframe 2017 – 2020 and an Evaluation of the first year findings.

46.2 It was explained that the services funded by the Third Sector Investment Partnership (TSIP) had supported those organisations who had been recipients in understanding the opportunities for collaboration between different types and structures of organisation. There had been many different types of collaboration, the importance of culture and the

relationship built up which had been tailored to individual organisations had been very important; it was not all about money and outputs. It should be noted that of the third sector funded organisations 73% had used 4 or more Community Works services, 12% had used 6 or more services and delivery across the programme had exceeded projections in many areas and 35,959 beneficiaries had benefited from the work by funded organisations (42% over targeted numbers). A mentoring programme was in place to assist in guiding recipients through the process and that had been considered to be valuable and had been very well received.

- 46.3 integral to evaluation of the first year findings had been a used in order to give a context to the evaluation process itself, primarily these had been: the impact on partnership on value for money and social value; the impact of partnership working; meeting the strategic priorities both of the city council and its partners; the impact of collaborative commissioning on the city council and the effectiveness of contract monitoring.
- 46.4 The headline findings of the first year evaluation had been very positive, the Third Sector Commission had impacted on a wide cross section of the community and had been able (due to its flexibility) to focus on people with multiple and complex needs, these had in some instances been greater and more complex than had been anticipated at the bidding stage; had been a mechanism for providing strong leverage in accessing funding opportunities; had resulted in a high level of funding to beneficiaries and a strong level of subsidy to beneficiaries. Overall £.24m had been allocated to the third sector which had generated £6.27m. In terms of activity outcomes the target had been 25, 283 but 35,959 (142%) had actually been achieved which had reached 12.6% of the city's population, with 144,660 sessions of activity having been provided.
- 46.5 Following the presentation Members had the opportunity to ask questions before proceeding with the remaining business of the meeting. The Chair, Councillor Daniel, commended the work which had been done during the first year of the programme and the direction of travel going forward.
- 46.6 **RESOLVED** - That the content of the presentation be received and noted.

47 THIRD SECTOR INVESTMENT PROGRAMME

- 47.1 The Committee considered a report of the Executive Director, Neighbourhoods, Communities and Housing, detailing the first year of operation of the Third Sector Investment Programme. The purpose of the report was to provide the Committee with details of the findings of independent evaluation of the first year (2017/18) of the Council's and Clinical Commissioning Group's Third Sector Commission (TSC) 2017-2020. The Commission along with the council's, annual grant programme – The Communities Fund – formed the council's Third Sector Investment Programme (TSIP).
- 47.2 It was noted that the Commission provided a new approach to grant making and the majority (88%) of TSIP budget was awarded through the Commission. In January 2017 twenty-six Community and Voluntary Sector (CVS) partnerships had been awarded three year grants through the commissioning process. The grant agreements were in place to end in March 2020.

- 47.3 Councillor Littman referred to the benefits which had accrued to residents which had exceeded the target set by 142 which equated to 12.6% of the city's population. This was a good news story and represented a very positive outcome for the city although he was anxious that this impetus needed to be maintained going forward, against a backdrop of continued financial constraints and budget cuts it was important that partners continued to work in concert to support this valuable programme. The work carried out by officers in achieving this result was to be commended.
- 47.4 Councillor Cattell commended the report which was well put together and detailed clearly the work which had taken place to date. The level of collaboration which had taken place was welcomed and it was hoped work to encourage initiatives to facilitate participation and support from the city's business sector would continue.
- 47.5 Anusree Biswas Sasidharan, BME Police Engagement Group stated that this initiative had been warmly welcomed by third sector organisations not least because they had been afforded the opportunity for extensive input and to steer the process. Joanna Martindale, Hangleton & Knoll Project concurred citing the guidance which had been given to facilitate engagement by groups.
- 47.6 Whilst welcoming the work which had been undertaken Councillor Nemeth considered that it was important to ensure that provision was tailored to the differing needs of groups and organisations. It might be appropriate for example to provide less to more organisations than more to a smaller number; it was important that assessment was made. It was confirmed that evaluation of the programme's operation and direction of travel going forward had factored that in.
- 47.7 Councillor Peltzer Dunn considered that the key findings of the report were very positive enquiring regarding the timetable for on-going input by voluntary sector organisations stating that he was also of the view that it was very important for this Committee to continue to be kept informed and to have input too.
- 47.8 Councillor Peltzer Dunn stated that in view of the information provided in relation to further information/report(s) being brought back to Committee he considered that recommendation (4) as set out in paragraph 2.4 was superfluous and should be removed. Councillor Nemeth concurred in that view. Councillor Peltzer Dunn then formally proposed its removal, this was seconded by Councillor Nemeth. The Committee then voted unanimously that recommendation (4) as set out in paragraph 2.4 be removed.
- 47.9 **RESOLVED** – (1) That the Committee notes the findings of the evaluation report (appendix 1);
- (2) That the Committee approves the creation of a 2020-2023 Third Sector Commissioning Prospectus as described in paragraphs 1.4 and 1.5;
- (3) That Committee agrees to receive a further report in summer 2019 outlining the commissioning outcomes and principles developed through the consultation process, for their approval ahead of the Prospectus being published for bids in September 2019.

48 ADDRESSING HATE CRIME INCIDENTS IN BRIGHTON & HOVE

- 48.1 The Committee considered a report of the Executive Director, Neighbourhoods, Communities and Housing addressing hate crime incidents in Brighton and Hove. At the meeting of the Committee which had been held in October 2018 the Racial Harassment Forum had presented the outcomes of a survey which had been undertaken by it into racially motivated hate crimes in the city and the reporting of those crimes. The survey had been carried out between January and March 2018 and over 250 people had responded. At that time the Committee had requested a report exploring wider hate crime incidents in the city and how the local authority and partners could support the recommendations in the survey.
- 48.2 The Head of Communities and Equalities, Emma Mc Dermott, explained that the Committee were invited to note the information in the report which was intended to provide a update on the work being undertaken by the Community Safety Team and the Communities, Equalities and Third Sector team in relation to addressing hate crime reporting in the city and to support the work of organisations such as the Racial Harassment Forum (RHF).
- 48.3 In response to questions by the Chair, Councillor Daniel, it was explained that following her appointment, Community Co-ordinator, Counter Extremism, Melinda King, had been working with the Police to flesh out and update the strategy going forward in line with Home Office guidance. A package was being drawn up in order to provide members with up to date training and information and prior to its launch a further update would be provided to the Committee.
- 48.4 Councillor Morgan stated that a consistent thread seemed to be the need to ensure the need to ensure that those who could be vulnerable were aware of the reporting mechanisms available to them and that support was given in order to enable them to do so.
- 48.5 Councillor Cattell, considered that integral to this it was important to ensure that those reporting incidents were afforded the anonymity they required and it was confirmed that mechanisms to ensure that this was so were in place.
- 48.6 Councillor Littman noted that the mechanisms in place were heavily focussed towards prevention which was welcomed. It was important however to ensure suitably robust arrangements were in place to ensure that “victims” had sufficient confidence in the process that they were encouraged and felt able to engage. Specific help and advice which could be given to Members which would enable them to assist in that regard would be helpful. He was also concerned that following the current Brexit negotiations, there could be spike in such incidents and that measures needed to be in place to react to that.
- 48.7 Councillor A Norman stated that she had read the report and the information contained in it with interest noting however that it did not appear to include reference to stalking asking regarding measures in place to combat such incidents too. Whilst the information and publicity provided by the posters was encouraging the prevalence of such incidents was also a serious cause for concern.

- 48.8 Chief Superintendent Lisa Bell responded that such incidents were regarded as serious and were receiving the attention that they deserved. It was acknowledged that this was challenging and that it was important to have mechanisms in place which encouraged reportage.
- 48.9 The Executive Director, Neighbourhoods, Communities and Housing stated that by publicising the process it was intended not only to encourage victims to report incidents but to encourage awareness by the general public which could encourage them to report issues or to encourage individuals known to them to do so.
- 48.9 **RESOLVED** – That the Committee has noted and commented upon the information contained in the report which has provided an update on work being undertaken by the Community Safety Team and the Communities, Equalities and Third Sector team in relation to addressing hate crime reporting in the City and to the support given to the work of organisations such as the Racial Harassment Forum (RHF).

49 COMMUNITY SAFETY AND CRIME IN BRIGHTON & HOVE

- 49.1 The Committee considered a report of the Executive Director, Neighbourhoods, Communities and Housing which provided an update on the work being undertaken by the Community Safety Team in relation to the Community Safety and Crime Reduction Strategy 2017-20.
- 49.2 The Chair, Councillor Daniel, referred to the quality of the work which had been carried out by the Tactical Tasking Group particularly in addressing cuckooing commending the partnership working which had been widely recognised and which had been successful in addressing the issues faced on a targeted basis. Councillor Marsh, endorsed that based on her direct experience as the Council's community safety representative, also commending the exhaustive work undertaken by the Police over a number of years which had resulted in a successful outcome to the "Babes in the Wood" murder which had resulted in the perpetrator being apprehended and sentenced. The Chair and the Committee concurred in that view.
- 49.3 Councillor Littman expressed concern at the impact that shrinking resources could have and Councillor Nemeth enquired whether there had been changes to reporting mechanisms which had resulted impacted on reported crimes and regarding basis for comparison which could be used when assessing these figures.
- 49.4 Chief Superintendent Bell explained that whilst it was acknowledged that certain categories of crime had seen increases measures were in place to channel the available resources and to work collaboratively with other partners on initiatives where that was appropriate. Overall, the risk of falling victim to serious crime remained low and the city was a safe place to live. Notwithstanding that incidence of crime were taken seriously.
- 49.5 **RESOLVED** – (1) The committee is invited to note and comment on the information contained in the report which provides an update on work being undertaken by the Community Safety Team in relation to the Community Safety and Crime Reduction Strategy 2017-20; and

- (2) The Committee is invited to give its support to the partnership work described in the report and commit to work described which is within the council's remit, thereby contributing to the management of crime and community safety priorities for the city.

50 EQUALITY AND INCLUSION STRATEGY PROGRESS UPDATE

- 50.1 The Committee considered a report of the Executive Director, Neighbourhoods, Communities and Housing the purpose of which was to provide a progress update in relation to the council's Equality and Inclusion Strategy which had been agreed in January 2017.
- 50.2 It was noted that the Strategy was intended to outline the council's equality and inclusion in order to facilitate and deliver equality within the council and in the wider city. The Strategy enabled the council to meet its legal obligations and to set at least one measurable equality objective. Five areas of focus had been identified and when the NICE Committee had agreed the Strategy and recommended it to council officers had been instructed to provide an annual update.
- 50.3 The Equalities Manager, Communities, Equality and the Third Sector, Anna Spragg, explained that following a key meeting later that month the timetable going forward would be finalised. That information would be shared with Members and briefing sessions would be arranged.
- 50.4 Councillor Cattell whilst supporting the work carried out to date considered that it was important to collect feedback and information using a variety of means as not everyone responded to surveys and it was important to use a variety of strategies in order to encourage engagement. It was important to seek to build trust in the process and to have clear aims as to what was to be achieved and how.
- 50.5 Councillor Littman concurred in that view stating that this process had been long in its conception and that clear benchmarks needed to be set and processes in place to ensure that the strategy was measured, adapted and amended as necessary going forward, otherwise it was an exercise on paper rather than work which developed over time. He would have welcomed more detail in the report regarding how that was to be achieved. This was not intended as a criticism of individuals or the process he just wished to be re-assured that this would be a continuing process.
- 50.6 It was explained that it was intended that further reports would be brought back to Committee on an annual basis which would detail the on-going evaluation which had taken place
- 50.7 Councillor Morgan stated that the administration was committed to this process which would be rigorously reviewed. He considered that external reviews which would have the role of a "critical friend" would be valuable.
- 50.8 The Chair, Councillor Daniel, stated that there was a willingness to engage and that it was envisaged that workshops and training would be made available to councillors post the May 2019 elections.

50.9 **RESOLVED** – That the Committee notes the contents of the report and the update provided against the Equality and Inclusion Strategy objective and key priorities for 2019-20.

51 DESIGNATING THE USE OF COMMUNITY PROTECTION NOTICES (CPN) TO LOCAL REGISTERED SOCIAL LANDLORDS

51.1 The Committee considered a report of the Executive Director, Neighbourhoods, Communities and Housing which sought approval to designate the use of Community Protection Notices to Registered Social Landlords (RSLs) in Brighton and Hove to increase the remedies available to them to tackle anti-social behaviour in the city.

51.2 It was noted that a proposed amendment had been put forward on behalf of the Green Group, proposed by Councillor Littman and seconded by Councillor West in the following terms:

“That the following recommendation 2.2 be added, as shown below:

2.2 That given the guidance from the minister for crime, safeguarding and vulnerability as stated in 7.6: that CPNs “are used proportionately and not to target specific groups”,

- and the statement in 7.8 referring to the value of an EIA,

That the committee agrees to defer the item until a full Equality Impact Assessment has been provided for consideration”

51.3 In responding to the points raised in the amendment proposed by the Green Group it was explained that an Equalities Impact Assessments were on-going in and any changes made going forward were seeking to extend and build on the established process rather than seeking to introduce something which was new.

51.4 In answer to further questions it was confirmed that the approach being used were be proportionate and that check weights and counter-balances were in place in order to ensure that those who were vulnerable were protected, but that measures were also in place which could respond more quickly to instances where persons (aged 16 years or over), businesses or organisations were committing behaviour which had a detrimental impact on the quality of life of those in their locality and where those behaviours were persistent and continuing in their nature and were deemed to be unreasonable. The aim of the CPN was to address such behaviours and to put a stop to them whilst putting steps into place to ensure that they did not re-occur.

51.5 Councillor Littman stated that he had concerns that this could in reality represent a very heavy handed approach and could give rise to an approach to vulnerable sections of the community which might not be even-handed. It was explained that the measures to be put into place would target problem behaviours and that the two registered social housing providers identified had indicated that they would wish to use CPN's in appropriate cases.

51.6 Councillor Nemeth stated that he was aware of incidents where individuals and communities had suffered sustained negative behaviours over a protracted period of

time which had a significant detrimental impact. He welcomed the fact that the powers available to address such behaviours were robust and a more rapid response would facilitate a more rapid response.

- 51.7 The Chair, Councillor Daniel stated that she had heard all that had been said and asked whether it was intended to provide periodic progress update report(s) to Committee and it was confirmed that it was.
- 51.8 Councillors Littman and West confirmed that in the light of the reassurances and responses provided that they would withdraw their proposed amendment. The Committee then proceeded voted on the recommendation as set out in the officer report.
- 51.9 **RESOLVED** – That the Committee approves the designation of Community Protection Notices to local Registered Social Landlords (RSLs) in accordance with section 53 of the Anti-Social Behaviour, Crime and Policing Act 2014.

52 ITEMS REFERRED FOR FULL COUNCIL

- 52.1 There were none.

The meeting concluded at 8.15pm

Signed

Chair

Dated this

day of